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## Today we're going to cover

- Review the Union-Find data structure, and look at applications
- Study range queries
- Learn about Segment Trees


## Union-Find

- We have $n$ items
- Maintains a collection of disjoint sets
- Each of the $n$ items is in exactly one set
- items $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$
- collections $=\{1,4\},\{3,5,6\},\{2\}$
- collections $=\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},\{4\},\{5\},\{6\}$
- Supports two operations efficiently: find(x) and union ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ).


## Union-Find

- items $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$
- collections $=\{1,4\},\{3,5,6\},\{2\}$
- find (x) returns a representative item from the set that $x$ is in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& - \text { find }(1)=1 \\
& - \text { find }(4)=1 \\
& - \text { find }(3)=5 \\
& - \text { find }(5)=5 \\
& - \text { find }(6)=5 \\
& - \text { find }(2)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

- a and $b$ are in the same set if and only if find (a) == find (b)


## Union-Find

- items $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$
- collections $=\{1,4\},\{3,5,6\},\{2\}$
- union( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) merges the set containing $x$ and the set containing y together.
- union(4, 2)
- collections $=\{1,2,4\},\{3,5,6\}$
- union(3, 6)
- collections $=\{1,2,4\},\{3,5,6\}$
- union(2, 6)
- collections $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$


## Union-Find implementation

- Quick Union with path compression
- Extremely simple implementation
- Extremely efficient

```
struct union_find {
    vector<int> parent;
    union_find(int n) {
        parent = vector<int>(n);
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        parent[i] = i;
    }
}
// find and union
};
```


## Union-Find implementation

## // find and union

```
int find(int x) {
    if (parent[x] == x) {
        return x;
        } else {
        parent[x] = find(parent[x]);
        return parent[x];
        }
}
```

void unite(int x, int y) \{
parent[find(x)] = find(y);
\}

## Union-Find implementation (short)

- If you're in a hurry...

```
#define MAXN 1000
int p[MAXN];
int find(int x) {
    return p[x] == x ? x : p[x] = find(p[x]); }
void unite(int x, int y) { p[find(x)] = find(y); }
for (int i = 0; i < MAXN; i++) p[i] = i;
```


## Union-Find applications

- Union-Find maintains a collection of disjoint sets
- When are we dealing with such collections?
- Most common example is in graphs


## Disjoint sets in graphs
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## Disjoint sets in graphs
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- items $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$


## Disjoint sets in graphs



- items $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$
- collections $=\{1,4,7\},\{2\},\{3,5,6\}$


## Disjoint sets in graphs



- items $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$
- collections $=\{1,4,7\},\{2\},\{3,5,6\}$
- union $(2,5)$


## Disjoint sets in graphs



- items $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$
- collections $=\{1,4,7\},\{2,3,5,6\}$


## Disjoint sets in graphs



- items $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$
- collections $=\{1,4,7\},\{2,3,5,6\}$
- union(6, 2)


## Disjoint sets in graphs



- items $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$
- collections $=\{1,4,7\},\{2,3,5,6\}$


## Example problem: Friends

- http://uva.onlinejudge.org/external/106/10608.html


## Range queries

- We have an array $A$ of size $n$
- Given $i, j$, we want to answer:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\max (A[i], A[i+1], \ldots, A[j-1], A[j) \\
& -\min (A[i], A[i+1], \ldots, A[j] 1], A[i]) \\
& -\operatorname{sum}(A[i], A[i+1], \ldots, A[j-1], A[j])
\end{aligned}
$$

- We want to answer these queries efficiently, i.e. without looking through all elements
- Sometimes we also want to update elements


## Range sum on a static array

- Let's look at range sums on a static array (i.e. updating is not supported)

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Range sum on a static array

- Let's look at range sums on a static array (i.e. updating is not supported)

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)$


## Range sum on a static array

- Let's look at range sums on a static array (i.e. updating is not supported)

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$-\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$

## Range sum on a static array

- Let's look at range sums on a static array (i.e. updating is not supported)

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$
- $\operatorname{sum}(2,5)$


## Range sum on a static array

- Let's look at range sums on a static array (i.e. updating is not supported)

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$
- $\operatorname{sum}(2,5)=29$


## Range sum on a static array

- Let's look at range sums on a static array (i.e. updating is not supported)

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$
- $\operatorname{sum}(2,5)=29$
- $\operatorname{sum}(2,2)$


## Range sum on a static array

- Let's look at range sums on a static array (i.e. updating is not supported)

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$
- $\operatorname{sum}(2,5)=29$
$-\operatorname{sum}(2,2)=7$


## Range sum on a static array

- Let's look at range sums on a static array (i.e. updating is not supported)

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$
- $\operatorname{sum}(2,5)=29$
$-\operatorname{sum}(2,2)=7$
- How do we support these queries efficiently?


## Range sum on a static array

- Simplification: only support queries of the form $\operatorname{sum}(0, j)$
- Notice that $\operatorname{sum}(i, j)=\operatorname{sum}(0, j)-\operatorname{sum}(0, i-1)$



## Range sum on a static array

- So we're only interested in prefix sums
- But there are only $n$ of them...
- Just compute them all once in the beginning

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Range sum on a static array

- So we're only interested in prefix sums
- But there are only $n$ of them...
- Just compute them all once in the beginning

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Range sum on a static array

- So we're only interested in prefix sums
- But there are only $n$ of them...
- Just compute them all once in the beginning

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Range sum on a static array

- So we're only interested in prefix sums
- But there are only $n$ of them...
- Just compute them all once in the beginning

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  |

## Range sum on a static array

- So we're only interested in prefix sums
- But there are only $n$ of them...
- Just compute them all once in the beginning

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 8 | 16 |  |  |  |

## Range sum on a static array

- So we're only interested in prefix sums
- But there are only $n$ of them...
- Just compute them all once in the beginning

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 8 | 16 | 21 |  |  |

## Range sum on a static array

- So we're only interested in prefix sums
- But there are only $n$ of them...
- Just compute them all once in the beginning

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 8 | 16 | 21 | 30 |  |

## Range sum on a static array

- So we're only interested in prefix sums
- But there are only $n$ of them...
- Just compute them all once in the beginning

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 8 | 16 | 21 | 30 | 33 |

## Range sum on a static array

- So we're only interested in prefix sums
- But there are only $n$ of them...
- Just compute them all once in the beginning

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 8 | 16 | 21 | 30 | 33 |

- $O(n)$ time to preprocess
- $O(1)$ time each query
- Can we support updating efficiently?


## Range sum on a static array

- So we're only interested in prefix sums
- But there are only $n$ of them...
- Just compute them all once in the beginning

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 8 | 16 | 21 | 30 | 33 |

- $O(n)$ time to preprocess
- $O(1)$ time each query
- Can we support updating efficiently? No, at least not without modification


## Range sum on a dynamic array

- What if we want to support:
- sum over a range
- updating an element

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Range sum on a dynamic array

- What if we want to support:
- sum over a range
- updating an element

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)$


## Range sum on a dynamic array

- What if we want to support:
- sum over a range
- updating an element

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$-\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$

## Range sum on a dynamic array

- What if we want to support:
- sum over a range
- updating an element

| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$
- update( $3,-2$ )


## Range sum on a dynamic array

- What if we want to support:
- sum over a range
- updating an element

| 1 | 0 | 7 | -2 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$
- update $(3,-2)$


## Range sum on a dynamic array

- What if we want to support:
- sum over a range
- updating an element

| 1 | 0 | 7 | -2 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$
- update $(3,-2)$
- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)$


## Range sum on a dynamic array

- What if we want to support:
- sum over a range
- updating an element

| 1 | 0 | 7 | -2 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$
- update $(3,-2)$
$-\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=23$


## Range sum on a dynamic array

- What if we want to support:
- sum over a range
- updating an element

| 1 | 0 | 7 | -2 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=33$
- update $(3,-2)$
$-\operatorname{sum}(0,6)=23$
- How do we support these queries efficiently?


## Segment Tree

$\square$

## Segment Tree

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) } 078593 \\
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 0 & 7 & 8 & 5 & 9 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Segment Tree



## Segment Tree



## Segment Tree



## Segment Tree



- Each vertex contains the sum of some segment of the array


## Segment Tree - Code

```
struct segment_tree {
    segment_tree *left, *right;
    int from, to, value;
    segment_tree(int from, int to)
        : from(from), to(to), left(NULL), right(NULL), value(0) { }
};
segment_tree* build(const vector<int> &arr, int l, int r) {
    if (l > r) return NULL;
    segment_tree *res = new segment_tree(l, r);
    if (l == r) {
        res->value = arr[l];
    } else {
        int m = (l + r) / 2;
        res->left = build(arr, l, m);
        res->right = build(arr, m + 1, r);
        if (res->left != NULL) res->value += res->left->value;
        if (res->right != NULL) res->value += res->right->value;
    }
    return res;
}
```


## Querying a Segment Tree



## Querying a Segment Tree



## Querying a Segment Tree



## Querying a Segment Tree



- $\operatorname{sum}(0,5)$


## Querying a Segment Tree



## Querying a Segment Tree



- $\operatorname{sum}(0,5)=16+14=30$


## Querying a Segment Tree



- $\operatorname{sum}(0,5)=16+14=30$
- We only need to consider a few vertices to get the entire range


## Querying a Segment Tree



- $\operatorname{sum}(0,5)=16+14=30$
- We only need to consider a few vertices to get the entire range
- But how do we find them?


## Querying a Segment Tree



| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,5)$


## Querying a Segment Tree



| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,5)$


## Querying a Segment Tree



| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,5)$


## Querying a Segment Tree



| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,5)$


## Querying a Segment Tree



| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,5)$


## Querying a Segment Tree



| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- $\operatorname{sum}(0,5)$


## Querying a Segment Tree - Code

```
int query(segment_tree *tree, int l, int r) {
    if (tree == NULL) return 0;
    if (l <= tree->from && tree->to <= r) return tree->value;
    if (tree->to < l) return 0;
    if (r < tree->from) return 0;
    return query(tree->left, l, r) + query(tree->right, l, r);
}
```


## Updating a Segment Tree



| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Updating a Segment Tree



- update $(3,5)$


## Updating a Segment Tree



- update $(3,5)$


## Updating a Segment Tree



- update $(3,5)$


## Updating a Segment Tree



- update $(3,5)$


## Updating a Segment Tree



- update $(3,5)$


## Updating a Segment Tree



- update $(3,5)$


## Updating a Segment Tree



- update $(3,5)$


## Updating a Segment Tree



- update $(3,5)$


## Updating a Segment Tree



- update $(3,5)$


## Updating a Segment Tree



| 1 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- update $(3,5)$


## Updating a Segment Tree - Code

```
int update(segment_tree *tree, int i, int val) {
    if (tree == NULL) return 0;
    if (tree->to < i) return tree->value;
    if (i < tree->from) return tree->value;
    if (tree->from == tree->to && tree->from == i) {
        tree->value = val;
    } else {
        tree->value = update(tree->left, i, val) + update(tree->right, i, val);
    }
    return tree->value;
}
```


## Segment Tree

- Now we can
- build a Segment Tree
- query a range
- update a single value


## Segment Tree

- Now we can
- build a Segment Tree
- query a range
- update a single value
- But how efficient are these operations?


## Segment Tree

- Now we can
- build a Segment Tree in $O(n)$
- query a range
- update a single value
- But how efficient are these operations?


## Segment Tree

- Now we can
- build a Segment Tree in $O(n)$
- query a range in $O(\log n)$
- update a single value
- But how efficient are these operations?


## Segment Tree

- Now we can
- build a Segment Tree in $O(n)$
- query a range in $O(\log n)$
- update a single value in $O(\log n)$
- But how efficient are these operations?


## Segment Tree

- Now we can
- build a Segment Tree in $O(n)$
- query a range in $O(\log n)$
- update a single value in $O(\log n)$
- But how efficient are these operations?
- Trivial to use Segment Trees for min, max, gcd, and other similar operators, basically the same code


## Segment Tree

- Now we can
- build a Segment Tree in $O(n)$
- query a range in $O(\log n)$
- update a single value in $O(\log n)$
- But how efficient are these operations?
- Trivial to use Segment Trees for min, max, gcd, and other similar operators, basically the same code
- Also possible to update a range of values in $O(\log n)$ (Google for Segment Trees with Lazy Propagation if you want to learn more)


## Example problem: Potentiometers

- http://uva.onlinejudge.org/external/120/12086.html

